

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
9

10 LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT GROUP,
11 LLC,

11 Plaintiff(s),

12 v.

13 2014-3 IH EQUITY OWNER, LP, et al.,

14 Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:15-cv-00917-GMN-NJK

ORDER

15 Concurrently herewith, the Court is entering a scheduling order. The Court issues this
16 order to advise the parties that discovery motions filed in this case will not be briefed according to
17 the default schedule outlined in Local Rule 7-2(b), but will instead be briefed on shortened
18 deadlines absent leave from the Court, *see* Local Rule IA 1-4 (the Court may alter the local rules).

19 “Discovery is supposed to proceed with minimal involvement of the Court.” *F.D.I.C. v.*
20 *Butcher*, 116 F.R.D. 196, 203 (E.D. Tenn. 1986). Counsel should strive to be cooperative, practical
21 and sensible, and should seek judicial intervention “only in extraordinary situations that implicate
22 truly significant interests.” *In re Convergent Techs. Securities Litig.*, 108 F.R.D. 328, 331 (N.D.
23 Cal. 1985). Generally speaking, discovery disputes may be presented to the Court only after
24 completion of a pre-filing conference. *See, e.g., Cardoza v. Bloomin’ Brands, Inc.*, 141 F. Supp.
25 3d 1137, 1145 (D. Nev. 2015). This pre-filing conference is not a mere technicality. Instead, the
26 parties must “personally engage in two-way communication . . . to meaningfully discuss each
27 contested discovery dispute in a genuine effort to avoid judicial intervention.” *ShuffleMaster, Inc.*
28 *v. Progressive Games, Inc.*, 170 F.R.D. 166, 171 (D. Nev. 1996). The consultation obligation

1 “promote[s] a frank exchange between counsel to resolve issues by agreement or to at least narrow
2 and focus matters in controversy before judicial resolution is sought.” *Nevada Power v. Monsanto*,
3 151 F.R.D. 118, 120 (D. Nev. 1993). To meet this obligation, parties must “treat the informal
4 negotiation process as a substitute for, and not simply a formalistic prerequisite to, judicial
5 resolution of discovery disputes.” *Id.* This is done when the parties “present to each other the
6 merits of their respective positions with the same candor, specificity, and support during the
7 informal negotiations **as during the briefing of discovery motions.**” *Id.* (emphasis added).

8 Given the robust requirements for a pre-filing conference, there should be no need for
9 discovery motions to be briefed pursuant to the default deadlines in the local rules in the vast
10 majority of cases. Quite simply, even before a discovery motion is filed, the parties must have
11 developed their respective arguments and must possess the relevant legal authority supporting
12 those positions.¹ The Court therefore **ORDERS** that, absent leave for an extension being granted,
13 **the response to a discovery motion shall be filed within 4 days of the service of that motion**
14 **and any reply shall be filed within 2 days of the service of the response.**²

16
17 ¹ Indeed, some courts require discovery disputes to be presented through a joint filing. *See*,
18 *e.g.*, C.D. Cal. Local Rule 37-2. While the Court will not require a joint statement, the parties may
19 stipulate to filing one. Any such joint statement must separately address each disputed discovery
20 request, providing the text of the request, the specific objection(s) to it, the arguments of the party
21 opposing discovery supporting each objection, and the discovering parties’ arguments opposing
22 each objection. *Cf.* C.D. Cal. Local Rule 37-2.1 (outlining similar procedure for presenting
23 discovery disputes in the form of joint stipulations). The parties must meaningfully develop their
24 arguments; merely identifying an objection or response thereto will not suffice. *Cf. Kor Media*
25 *Group, LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 582 n.3 (D. Nev. 2013). The page limitations established
26 in the local rules will not apply to joint statements, but counsel must be as concise as possible.
27 The joint statement must be complete in itself. The parties may not incorporate by reference
28 arguments made elsewhere. The joint statement shall attach any declarations or exhibits that the
parties wish to be considered. The joint statement shall be docketed as a “Stipulation for Order
Resolving Discovery Dispute.”

24 ² The normal rules regarding calculating deadlines apply. No extra days will be added
25 when service is completed electronically through CM/ECF, but three days will be added to the
26 deadlines in cases in which service is completed by means enumerated in Rule 6(d) of the Federal
27 Rules of Civil Procedure. Intervening weekend days and Court holidays count toward the deadline
28 imposed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(1)(B). To the extent a deadline set herein falls on a weekend or
Court holiday, the filing is due on the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or Court holiday. *See*
Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(1)(C). The Court reminds the parties that the CM/ECF system may
automatically generate deadlines that are inconsistent with this order and, in such instances, this
order controls. *See* Local Rule IC 3-1(d).

1 To the extent a more expedited briefing schedule is required under the circumstances or an
2 order resolving the dispute is required within a shortened time, the discovery motion shall be filed
3 in accordance with the requirements for emergency motions. *See, e.g., Cardoza*, 141 F. Supp. 3d
4 at 1140-43.³

5 In the event a discovery dispute involves a non-party, the party involved in that dispute
6 must provide the non-party with a copy of this order during the pre-filing conference and must
7 certify that fact with its own filing.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: February 19, 2019

10 
11 _____
12 Nancy J. Koppe
13 United States Magistrate Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 _____
27 ³ This order and the deadlines set out herein do not apply to motions seeking only discovery
28 sanctions, as the pre-filing conference requirements do not apply to such motions. *See, e.g.,*
Nationstar Mtg., LLC v. Flamingo Trails No. 7 Maintenance Assoc., 316 F.R.D. 327, 335-46 (D.
Nev. 2016).